arXiv:1210.1735v1 [math.MG] 5 Oct 2012

Convexity of the tropical span of a Kleene star: an elementary proof

M.J. de la Puente[*]

Abstract

For any zero–diagonal matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, we show that A is a Kleene star if and only if $\operatorname{span}(A)$ is convex and equal to

$$\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : \begin{array}{c} a_{in} \leq x_i \leq -a_{ni} \\ a_{ik} \leq x_i - x_k \leq -a_{ki} \end{array} ;\ i,k \in [n-1], i \neq k \right\}.$$

Our proof is elementary. An equivalent result has been proved by Sergeev using, in a nontrivial way, the max–algebraic spectral theory of A.

1 Introduction and notations

Tropical algebra (also called max–algebra) resembles linear algebra. A basic problem in tropical algebra is to determine the properties of the set V spanned (by means of tropical operations) by a given set of points S. When S consists of n points $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ in a certain $n-1$–dimensional projective space, then the properties of V follow from the properties of the $n \times n$ real matrix A given by the coordinates of the a_j. In this setting, V is denoted $\operatorname{span}(A)$.

Most of the known proofs of properties concerning $\operatorname{span}(A)$ heavily call on the max–algebraic spectral theory of A. This theory, which depends on the properties of the directed graph associated to A, is well-known today; see [1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 20].

Kleene stars form a particularly well–behaved class of matrices, in tropical algebra; see [5, 17]. They can be characterized in terms of linear equalities and inequalities; see (1) below. In this note we prove, by elementary methods, the following *theorem*: for any zero–diagonal matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, A is a Kleene star if and only if $\operatorname{span}(A)$ is convex and equal to

$$\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : \begin{array}{c} a_{in} \leq x_i \leq -a_{ni} \\ a_{ik} \leq x_i - x_k \leq -a_{ki} \end{array} ;\ i,k \in [n-1], i \neq k \right\}.$$

---

[*] Partially supported by UCM research group 910444.

An equivalent result is proposition 3.6 in [17]. The proof there relies on the spectral theory of A.

Convexity–related questions about $\operatorname{span}(A)$ have recently drawn the attention of various authors; see [9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

Earlier versions of this proof are found in [12, 14].

Write $\oplus = \max$ and $\odot = +$. These are the tropical operations addition and multiplication. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $[n] := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Let $\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ denote the set of $n \times n$ real matrices. Define tropical sum and product of matrices following the same rules of classical linear algebra, but replacing addition (multiplication) by tropical addition (multiplication). We will never use classical sum or multiplication of matrices, in this note; therefore, $A \odot B$ will be written AB, for simplicity, for matrices A, B.

Consider $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$. By definition (see [17, 6, 18]), A is *a Kleene star* if $\operatorname{diag}(A) = 0$ and $A = A^2$ (i.e., A is null diagonal and idempotent, tropically). Notice that, if each diagonal entry of $A = (a_{ij})$ vanishes, then $A \leq A^2$, because for each $i, j \in [n]$, we have $(A^2)_{ij} = \max_{k\in[n]} a_{ik} + a_{kj} \geq a_{ij}$. Therefore, being a Kleene star is characterized by the following n linear equalities and $\binom{n}{2} + \binom{n}{3}$linear inequalities:

$$a_{ii} = 0, \quad a_{ik} + a_{kj} \leq a_{ij}, \quad i, j, k \in [n], \quad \operatorname{card}\{i, j, k\} \geq 2. \tag{1}$$

We will only consider zero–diagonal matrices. A matrix A induces the following convex (possibly empty!) polytope in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

$$C_A := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : \begin{array}{c} a_{in} \leq x_i \leq -a_{ni} \\ a_{ik} \leq x_i - x_k \leq -a_{ki} \end{array} ; \; i, k \in [n-1], i \neq k \right\}. \tag{2}$$

The projective tropical $n-1$–dimensional space, denoted $\mathbb{TP}^{n-1}$, is the quotient space $\mathbb{R}^n/\sim$, where $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \sim (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ if and only if $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (\lambda + b_1, \ldots, \lambda + b_n)$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The equivalence class of $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ will be denoted $[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be coordinates on $\mathbb{TP}^{n-1}$. For any point in $\mathbb{TP}^{n-1}$, we can always choose a representative $(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 0)$ having null last coordinate. In this case, we say that we *work in* $X_n = 0$. This allows us to identify $\mathbb{TP}^{n-1}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

From now on, we will write points in $\mathbb{TP}^{n-1}$ as columns and we will always work in $X_n = 0$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ be points in $\mathbb{TP}^{n-1}$. The *tropical span* of $a_1 \ldots, a_m$ is, by definition,

$$\operatorname{span}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) = \{(\lambda_1 + a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\lambda_m + a_m) \in \mathbb{TP}^{n-1} : \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{R}\}. \tag{3}$$

We can write the coordinates a_{ij} of the points a_j as the columns of an $n \times m$ real matrix A and denote $\operatorname{span}(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ by $\operatorname{span}(A)$. Notice that $\operatorname{span}(A)$ is the image of the map $f_A : \mathbb{TP}^{m-1} \to \mathbb{TP}^{n-1}$ given by $\lambda \mapsto A\lambda$.

In order to view $\operatorname{span}(A)$ inside $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, we must use the matrix $A_0 = (\alpha_{ij})$, where

$$\alpha_{ij} = a_{ij} - a_{nj}. \tag{4}$$

Then $x = [x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, 0]^t \in \operatorname{span}(A)$ if and only if there exist $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$0 = \max_{k \in [m]} \lambda_k, \tag{5}$$

$$x_j = \max_{k \in [m]} \lambda_k + \alpha_{kj}, \quad j \in [n-1]. \tag{6}$$

We will always view $\operatorname{span}(A)$ inside $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

Lemma 1. *If* $m = n$ *and* $\operatorname{diag}(A) = 0$*, then* $C_A \subseteq \operatorname{span}(A)$.

Proof. Given $x \in C_A$, consider scalars $\lambda_n = 0$ and $\lambda_i = x_i + a_{ni} \leq 0$, for $i \in [n-1]$. Then (5) and (6) hold true, due to (4) and to the $n(n-1)$ inequalities defining C_A. Thus, $x \in \operatorname{span}(A)$.

□

Lemma 2. $\operatorname{span}(x, y) \subseteq C_A$*, for every* x, y *in* C_A*, with* $\operatorname{diag}(A) = 0$.

Proof. A point z in $\operatorname{span}(x, y)$ admits coordinates $z_n = 0 = \max\{\lambda, \mu\}$ and

$$z_i = \max\{\lambda + x_i, \mu + y_i\}, \quad i \in [n-1],$$

for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Say $\lambda = 0, \mu \leq 0$; then

$$a_{in} \leq x_i \leq \max\{x_i, \mu + y_i\} = z_i \leq \max\{x_i, y_i\} \leq -a_{ni}, \quad i \in [n-1].$$

Choosing now $i, k \in [n-1]$, $i \neq k$, we want to prove that $a_{ik} \leq z_i - z_k \leq -a_{ki}$.

If $x_i = z_i$ and $x_k = z_k$ or $\mu + y_i = z_i$ and $\mu + y_k = z_k$, then it is obvious.

If $\mu + y_i = z_i$ and $x_k = z_k$, then $a_{ik} \leq x_i - x_k \leq z_i - z_k = \mu + y_i - x_k \leq \mu + y_i - \mu - y_k = y_i - y_k \leq -a_{ki}$.

If $\mu + y_k = z_k$ and $x_i = z_i$, then the situation is similar. □

Theorem 3. *Assume that* $m = n$ *and* $\operatorname{diag}(A) = 0$*. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *A is a Kleene star,*

(ii) *each column of* A_0 *belongs to* C_A*,*

(iii) $C_A = \operatorname{span}(A)$.

Proof. Recall the definition of A_0 and α_{ij} in (1). Then, for $i, j, k \in [n]$ we have

(a) $\alpha_{ni} = 0$, $\alpha_{in} = a_{in}$ and $\alpha_{ii} = -a_{ni}$,

(b) $\alpha_{ij} - \alpha_{jj} = a_{ij}$.

If A is a Kleene star (see inequalities (1)), then

(c) $a_{in} \leq \alpha_{ij} \leq -a_{ni}$,

(d) $a_{ik} \leq \alpha_{ij} - \alpha_{kj} = a_{ij} - a_{kj} \leq -a_{ki}$.

Items (c) and (d) above mean that each column of A_0 belongs to C_A. Thus (i) implies (ii).

Any point x in $\operatorname{span}(A)$ admits coordinates $[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, 0]^t$ satisfying (5) and (6). Say $\lambda_1 = 0$ and write

$$x = z \oplus (\lambda_3 + \operatorname{col}(A_0, 3)) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\lambda_n + \operatorname{col}(A_0, n)),$$

with $z = \operatorname{col}(A_0, 1) \oplus (\lambda_2 + \operatorname{col}(A_0, 2))$.

Assuming (ii), then z lies in C_A, by lemma 2. Again by lemma 2, in finitely many steps, we show that x lies in C_A. Thus, $\operatorname{span}(A) \subseteq C_A$. On the other hand, (iii) implies (ii), clearly.

Suppose now that some column of A_0 does not belong to C_A. Then, there exist $i, j, k \in [n]$ such that one inequality in (c) or (d) does not hold. Then $A < A^2$, so A is not a Kleene star. ☐

Corollary 4. *Assume that* $m = n$ *and* $\operatorname{diag}(A) = 0$. *Then* $\operatorname{span}(A)$ *is convex if and only if A is a Kleene star.*

Proof. We only need to prove that $\operatorname{span}(A) \subseteq C_A$, if $\operatorname{span}(A)$ is convex.

Assume that there exists $x \in \operatorname{span}(A) \setminus C_A$. We can assume that x is extremal in the convex set $\operatorname{span}(A)$. Then, there exist J nonempty, $J \subseteq [n-1]$ such that x is a vertex of the unique tropical linear subspace (called *stable tropical join*; see [16]) determined by $\{\operatorname{col}(A_0, j) : j \in J\}$. Then, $\operatorname{col}(A_0, j) \notin C_A$, for some $j \in J$, contradicting item (ii) in theorem 3. ☐

By definition, $A = (a_{ij})$ is *normal* if $a_{ii} = 0$ and $a_{ij} \leq 0$, all $i, j \in [n]$; see [3, 4, 19]. Notice that if A is normal and tropically idempotent, then A is a Kleene star, but not conversely; for instance, $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Trivially, A is normal if and only if zero belongs to C_A.

Acknowledgements

I am indebted to S. Sergeev for very helpful discussions.

References

[1] M. Akian, R. Bapat and S. Gaubert, *Max–plus algebra*, chapter 25 in *Handbook of linear algebra*, L. Hobgen (ed.) Chapman and Hall, 2007.

[2] F.L. Baccelli, G. Cohen, G.J. Olsder and J.P. Quadrat, *Syncronization and linearity*, John Wiley; Chichester; New York, 1992.

[3] P. Butkovič, *Max–algebra: the linear algebra of combinatorics?*, Linear Algebra Appl. **367**, (2003), 313–335.

[4] P. Butkovič, *Simple image set of* $(\max, +)$ *linear mappings*, Discrete Appl. Math. **105**, (2000), 73–86.

[5] P. Butkovič, *Max–plus linear systems: theory and algorithms*, (2010), Springer.

[6] P. Butkovič, H. Schneider and S. Sergeev, *Generators, extremals and bases of max–cones*, Linear Algebra Appl. **421**, 394–406, (2007).

[7] R. Cuninghame–Green, *Minimax algebra*, LNEMS, **166**, Springer, 1970.

[8] R.A. Cuninghame–Green, *Minimax algebra and applications*, in *Adv. Imag. Electr. Phys.*, **90**, P. Hawkes, (ed.), Academic Press, 1–121, 1995.

[9] M. Develin, B. Sturmfels, *Tropical convexity*, *Doc. Math.* **9**, 1–27, (2004); Erratum in Doc. Math. **9** (electronic), 205–206, (2004).

[10] Z. Izhakian, M. Johnson and M. Kambites, *Idempotent tropical matrices and finite metric spaces*, arXiv: 1203.2480, 2012.

[11] Z. Izhakian, M. Johnson and M. Kambites, *Pure dimension and projectivity of tropical politopes*, arXiv: 1106.4525, 2012.

[12] A. Jiménez and M.J. de la Puente, *Characterizing the convexity of the* n*–dimensional tropical simplex and the six maximal convex classes in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, arXiv: 1205.4162, 2012.

[13] M. Joswig and K. Kulas, *Tropical and ordinary convexity combined*, Adv. Geom. **10**, (2010) 333-352.

[14] J. Linde and M.J. de la Puente, *Commuting normal idempotent tropical matrices:an algebraic–geometric approach*, arXiv: 1209.0660, 2012.

[15] G.L. Litvinov, S.N. Sergeev, (eds.) *Tropical and idempotent mathematics*, Proceedings Moscow 2007, American Mathematical Society, Contemp. Math. **495**, (2009).

[16] J. Richter–Gebert, B. Sturmfels, T. Theobald, *First steps in tropical geometry*, in [**?**], 289–317.

[17] S. Sergeev, *Multiorder, Kleene stars and cyclic proyectors in the geometry of max cones*, in [15].

[18] S. Sergeev, H. Scheneider and P. Butkovič, *On visualization, subeigenvectors and Kleene stars in max algebra*, Linear Algebra Appl. **431**, 2395–2406, (2009).

[19] M. Yoeli, *A note on a generalization of boolean matrix theory*, Amer. Math. Monthly **68**, n.6, (1961) 552–557.

[20] K. Zimmermann, *Extremální algebra*, Výzkumná publikace ekonomicko–matematické laboratoře při ekonomickém ústavé ČSAV, **46**, Prague, 1976, in Czech.

M. J. de la Puente. Dpto. de Algebra. Facultad de Matemáticas. Universidad Complutense. Madrid. Spain. `mpuente@mat.ucm.es`